

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 Michael C. Vandenberg,
7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 Beatriz J. Doyle; et al.,
10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00875-ART-DJA

Report and Recommendation

11 On March 14, 2024, the Court denied Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*
12 and gave him until June 12, 2024, to file a new one. (ECF No. 4). In doing so, the Court
13 explained that "[f]ailure to timely comply with this order may result in a recommendation to the
14 district judge that this case be dismissed." (*Id.*). To date, Plaintiff has not filed anything further
15 in this action.

16
17 Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** without
18 prejudice. The Clerk of Court is kindly directed to send this recommendation to Plaintiff.

19
20 **NOTICE**

21 Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be
22 in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within (14) days after service of this Notice. The
23 Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived
24 due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142
25 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986). The Ninth Circuit has also held that (1) failure to file
26 objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable
27 issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the
28

1 order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi*
2 *Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

3
4 DATED: August 29, 2024

5
6
7 
8 _____
9 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28